

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/563,302	Applicant(s) KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner TIEN MAI	Art Unit 2836	

All Participants:

(1) TIEN MAI.

(2) Gregory Montone.

Date of Interview: 5 November 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
31-33,36-37,43-45 and 48

Prior art documents discussed:
Collins et al. (US 5,315,473)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Since Collins et al. discloses substrate and electrostatic chuck being movable together, Applicant cancelled claims that contain such limitation.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)